

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
MINUTES – January 11, 2011**

**Mayor Ken Nelson called the Council meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.**

**Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag:** Mayor Nelson led the pledge

**ROLL CALL:**

Present: Buske, Judd, Adams, D’Astice, Larsen

Absent: Pitzafarro, Lusk

**Staff Members Present:** Acting City Manager Barry Krumstok, Public Works Director Fred Vogt, Community Development Valerie Dehner, Senior Accountant Melissa Gallagher, Fire Chief Ron Stewart, Police Chief Dave Scanlan, City Attorney Jim Macholl

Mayor Ken Nelson read the following statement:

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE ARE REMINDED THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING VIDEO TAPED FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BROADCAST OVER THE CITY’S CABLE TELEVISION CHANNEL.

**MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES:**

Alderman Larsen moved to approve the minutes of the December 14, 2010 Council Meeting; seconded by Alderman D’Astice.

Yeas: Buske, Judd, Adams, D’Astice, Larsen

Nays: 0

Absent: Pitzafarro, Lusk

**Minutes Approved**

**MOTION TO DEVIATE:**

Alderman Larsen made a motion to deviate, seconded by Alderman Buske.

**Unanimous**

**1) Officer David Kim**

Mr. Krumstok and Chief Scanlan presented Officer David Kim with a flag of the City of Rolling Meadows. Officer Kim has been deployed to Afghanistan.

Alderman Lusk arrived at 7:35pm

Mayor Nelson: With permission of the Council I would like to move Item H, a Resolution in support Officer Kim, to this point in our proceedings.

Alderman D’Astice made the motion, seconded by Alderman Buske.

**Unanimous**

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**H) Resolution No. 11-R-02 / In Support of Officer David Kim**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Larsen

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafarro

Resolution Passed

**2) Public Hearing – CDBG Call for Projects**

The Cook County Bureau of Administration requires the City of Rolling Meadows to hold a Public Hearing regarding the use of Cook County Community Development Block Grant Funds. This Hearing is to solicit input from the Community regarding future funding and program needs.

Let the record show this Public Hearing opened at 7:36p.m. on Tuesday, January 11, 2011.

The Cook County Community Development Block Grant Program funds a variety of needs some of which include Single Family Rehabilitation, Fair Housing Activities, Housing Counseling, Affordable Housing Opportunities, Infrastructure Improvements, Commercial Rehabilitation, Capital Improvements and Public Service Activities.

At this time no organizations have requested applications for the use of Cook County Community Development Block Grant funds for the 2011 program year.

The City of Rolling Meadows encourages any interested parties or organizations to present any current or future request or ideas regarding the use of Cook County Community Development Block Grant Program funds.

The City of Rolling Meadows City Council will be requested to approve any Community Development Block Grant project applications at its regularly scheduled meeting of February 8, 2011. The City is required to follow the established procedures of the Cook County Community Development Block Grant Program regarding project submittal and established limitations on funding.

The City of Rolling Meadows will also consider any necessary revisions to its Five Year Plan on file with Cook County Department of Planning and Development, at the February 8, 2011 City Council meeting.

Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak regarding the Cook County Community Development Block Grant Program?

*Mr. Brian McKenna, Rolling Meadows Park District: Thanked everyone for their support for past and current projects. They will be coming forward with another project and ask for Council support.*

Let the record show this hearing closed at 7:38p.m., January 11, 2011.

**MAYOR'S REPORT:**

- 1) Per Governor Quinn and President Obama, the City's flags have been at half-staff since Monday at all City buildings and will stay that way until Friday. This is in memory, honor and tribute to all those individuals touched by the Saturday tragedy in Tucson, Arizona. May each one of us remember, reflect, and/or pray for all those involved, recovering, or mourning.
- 2) Just a friendly reminder that the Cancer Awareness Banners are for sale at City Hall until March 1<sup>st</sup>. You can also download an application from the City's website at [www.cityrm.org](http://www.cityrm.org).
- 3) City Hall will be closed for the Martin Luther King, Jr. Holiday on Monday, January 17<sup>th</sup>. There will be regular refuse and recycling collection on this day.
- 4) The City, SWANCC, and Elgin Recycling are conducting a holiday light, extension cord, and wire recycling program again. There is a box outside of City Hall (southwest corner of the building) that will accept the following items: mini-lights (or Italian lights), C7 lights, C9 lights, rope lights, LED lights, extension cords, house wire, computer wire, etc. All colors and lengths will be accepted. The City cannot accept garland, live greens, wreaths, or other non-recyclables. The program concludes at the end of the month.
- 5) Thank you to Public Works for the recent work during the recent snow and ice storms. I want to remind residents that it is very important that vehicles are removed from the streets and do not plow or shovel snow into the street.
- 6) Finally, the City Council and Staff wish everyone a Happy, Healthy, and Safe Holiday Season. Happy New Year!!

**WARD REPORTS:**

**Ward 1 – Alderman Pitzaferro:**

No report.

**Ward 2 – Alderman Lusk:**

No report.

**Ward 3 – Alderman Buske:**

No report.

**Ward 4 – Alderman Judd:**

No report.

**Ward 5 – Alderman Adams:**

No report.

**Ward 6 – Alderman D’Astice:**

No report.

**Ward 7 – Alderman Larsen:**

No report.

**MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR 20 MINUTES:**

No one came forward.

Mayor Ken Nelson closed the floor.

➤ **PENDING:**

**A. Ordinance No. 11-01 / Amend Traffic Code – Parking Restrictions on Wilke Road (2<sup>nd</sup> Reading)**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Ordinance.

Alderman Larsen made a motion to accept the Ordinance, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D’Astice, Larsen  
Nays: none  
Absent: Pitzferro

**Ordinance Passed**

➤ **CONSENT: ORDINANCES FOR 1<sup>ST</sup> READING:**

- B. Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Public Works CDL Policy**
- C. Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Sick Leave Accrual and Usage**
- D. Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Retirement Notice Incentive**
- E. Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Vacation Eligibility**

Alderman Larsen requested Item D be removed  
Alderman Judd requested Items C & E be removed  
Alderman Buske requested Item B be removed

➤ **NEW BUSINESS:**

**Item B from Consent Agenda: Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Public Works CDL Policy**

Alderman D’Astice made a motion to approve on first reading, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Alderman Buske: Is it just CDL drivers who have to do this drug testing or anyone with a license?

Mr. Vogt: This is pertaining just to CDL requirements to meet the state and federal requirements.

Alderman Buske: So we have nothing within our City for drug testing on people that operate City vehicles?

Mr. Vogt: We do testing as pre-employment and we have a reasonable suspicion policy that we use within Public Works.

Mr. Krumstok: It is uniform and universal for the pre-employments and if there is a suspicion or concern we can send someone for a test.

Alderman Larsen: Would it be routine if someone has an accident?

Mr. Krumstok: It really depends on the issue and if there is a suspicion.

Alderman Larsen: So there would need to be some basis for suspicion?

Mr. Krumstok: Correct.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D’Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Approved on First Reading**

**Item C from Consent Agenda: Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Sick Leave Accrual and Usage**

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to approve on first reading, seconded by Alderman Lusk.

Alderman Judd: In Section 4, there is reference to 120 hours.

Mr. Krumstok: That takes the first 480 hours and then you add the 120 hours and that adds up to 600 hours.

Alderman Judd: I was in favor of no carry-over of vacation or sick time. 600 hours is beyond reasonable. I would like to amend Sections 2, 3 and 5 to read 40 hours of maximum carry-over and eliminate Section 4, seconded by Alderman Adams.

Alderman Larsen: How did we land on the 600 hours?

Mr. Krumstok: That would be me. It was a number that I came up with after looking at past Rules and Regulations.

Alderman Larsen: What is the common practice? What is the industry standard?

Mr. Krumstok: There really isn't an industry standard.

Alderman Larsen: I would need a better sense of what comparable municipalities do in order to form an informed decision. I cannot support the amendment or the ordinance itself.

Mr. Krumstok: This was based on past discussions.

Mayor Nelson: Could we get a quick survey done before the next Committee of the Whole meeting?

Mr. Krumstok: We could try turning it around that quickly.

Mayor Nelson: My concern is this, the accrual of sick time is designed so if someone has a major illness that they can accrue some time because we do not offer short-time disability at this time. If we cut this to 40 hours we are saying that you get a week and then you are on your own.

Alderman Larsen: Why don't we offer long-term disability?

Mayor Nelson: It was discussed at one time although for not a long time.

Mr. Krumstok: This only deals with the non-union and there are some benefits that come out of IMRF. The union issue is a separate entity.

Alderman Larsen: The question of the City's roll is how much do we want people to accumulate? To Alderman Judd's point, why is there a program to bank hours that may never be used and taken at retirement? This would be one of the things on the table at union negotiations. This is worth considering, but I don't believe we have enough information.

Alderman Lusk: I am not comfortable with only 40 hours. To go thirty days without a paycheck, 40 hours is not going to do it. I think we need to cover at least 5 weeks.

Alderman Buske: I did not know the IMRF had this benefit. I would ask Alderman Judd to change his motion to 80 hours.

Mr. Krumstok: 240 hours would be 30 days.

Alderman D'Astice: 160 hours would be 4 weeks.

Alderman Judd: I am comfortable with moving it to 80 hours.

Alderman Adams: I agree.

Alderman Larsen: I do not see anything about "use it or lose it".

Mr. Krumstok: Once they hit the 80 hours that's it.

Roll on Motion:

Yeas: Buske, Judd, Adams, D'Astice

Nays: Lusk, Larsen

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Motion Passed**

Roll on Ordinance as Amended:

Yeas: Buske, Judd, Adams, D'Astice

Nays: Lusk, Larsen

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Approved on First Reading**

**Item D from Consent Agenda: Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Retirement Notice Incentive**

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to approve on first reading, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Alderman Larsen: I would like clarification on wording. "This new Ordinance, if approved, would change the incentive to only allow for the actual payment of the allocation of time two months before the retirement of the employee unless the City Manager receives consent by the City Council to allocate the incentive money earlier."

**City Council Meeting**  
**January 11, 2011**  
**Page 8**

Mr. Krumstok: This talks about any payment under this new retirement incentive. The payment would be made two months in advance before the separation. For example, a person has given 6 months notice; they are doing their 50% buyout of sick/vacation time. Two months in advance they would get that check. The rest of that time is paid off at separation. So they are actually getting 2 times the separation. The caveat in that is specifically where it talks about if the payment is not two months, the City Manager would come back for City Council approval for more than those two months. Again, the ordinance is set up that everybody with the early retirement notice incentive, two months before they separate, would get their lump sum at that point and the second part when they separate or, with City Council approval, they could get a payout at more than 2 months. This could be beneficial for the City because we could then be paying 2 different years.

Alderman Judd: Help me understand the last thing you said.

Mr. Krumstok: For example, an employee has given us their 6 month notice to retire in 2011. In December we would have done their 6 month payout of their benefit time at 50% at the 2010 rate, the rest of it when they actually leave City service at the 2011 rate.

Alderman Judd: Correct me if I'm wrong, the money that is paid is 2010 shows the reference to higher income in 2010.

Mr. Krumstok: That would be for IMRF individuals.

Alderman Judd: This is only for IMRF correct?

Mr. Krumstok: No, this is for all new employees.

Alderman Judd: Why two months?

Mr. Krumstok: It was just a suggestion. 3 months or more is where the potential spiking kicks in.

Alderman Judd: 1) Has there been situations where there has been a spike? 2) How does this impact the current employees?

Mr. Krumstok: They have already given us their notice under the current Rules and Regulations. There is concern for potential spiking.

Alderman Judd: How many people has this impacted and will impact?

Mr. Krumstok: I cannot give you an answer until February 1<sup>st</sup>.

Alderman Buske: The concern I have is that when this was presented to us it was for the purpose of buying time to fill a position. I find it interesting that the unions would not spike because it is not considered wages.

Mr. Krumstok: Right, how their calculation is actually done is their last salary at the day of separation. IMRF looks at the highest 48 consecutive months over a 10 year period.

Alderman Buske: Is this going to stop this from happening?

Mr. Krumstok: This will correct it.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays:

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Approved on First Reading**

**Item E from Consent Agenda: Ordinance / Amend Personnel Rules & Regulations – Vacation Eligibility**

Alderman Buske made a motion to approve on first reading, seconded by Alderman Adams.

Alderman Judd: Do we offer part-time employees that come from another municipality vacation time based on that at all.

Mr. Krumstok: If they have some additional time.

Alderman Judd: This would eliminate that?

Mr. Krumstok: Correct.

Alderman Judd: Does this leave room for negotiations?

Mr. Krumstok: There is always room for negotiations on Rules and Regulations.

Alderman Judd: I like the concept, but if you are hiring a department head and they have 4 weeks vacation. I don't know if we need something in here about it being negotiable.

Mr. Krumstok: City Manager does have some discretionary power.

Alderman Judd: Would they have to inform the Council?

Mr. Krumstok: Under the Rules and Regulations it is part of the City Manager's discretionary power.

Alderman Buske: Department Heads should be negotiable.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays:

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Approved on First Reading**

**F. Motion to Approve Payment of Bills on Warrant 1-11-2011**

Alderman Larsen made a motion to approve the Warrant, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D'Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafarro

**Warrant Passed**

**G. Resolution No. 11-R-01 / Approve Intergovernmental Agreement for Algonquin Street Lighting**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Alderman D'Astice: I have had the opportunity to speak with several residents. It seems that the residents have several feelings. Some wanted to know the number of light posts and the spacing. Is it in the budget? Yes. Would it be reducing our reserves? No, because it is in the budget. Most said yes, they would appreciate it. Some feel it may reduce the crime. Most residents feel comfortable that this is a good way to spend money since most of the money is a grant. I will be supporting this on behalf of the residents in my Ward.

Mayor Nelson: This was actually scheduled for a little later, but because of some federal funding we were able to move it up a little bit.

Alderman Buske: I agree with Alderman D'Astice. We are working with Arlington Heights and I don't think it would be good to tell them we are not going to do this. I was not for this until we got a grant. Was this money put into the road fund for this particular issue?

Mr. Vogt: The 2011 budget has \$300,000 in the Motor Fuel Tax Fund which is where we propose to take the money and later on in the spring we would need to do an appropriate Resolution to allocate this. The City will have to pay for the engineering, but we will get reimbursed.

Alderman Buske: So this money will not take away from the roads?

Mr. Vogt: No, it will not.

Alderman Judd: Has the engineer been decided yet?

Mr. Vogt: The engineering contract has been approved by the state. We will be asking City Council to approve that contract in the coming weeks. The pre-construction meeting is January 27<sup>th</sup> with construction starting on March 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mr. Krumstok: A footnote – that is why you have been seeing the ComEd poles being moved along Algonquin.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen  
Nays: none  
Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**I. Resolution No. 11-R-03 / Approve Change Order #1 for Richnee Lane Engineering Services**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Lusk made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Larsen.

Alderman Judd: Out of principle I am not going to support this. They have increased the cost roughly \$7100. If you are off that much, that is not something I am going to support.

Alderman Buske: There are always overruns. If this is engineering, how did this happen?

Mr. Vogt: Several reasons. Staff added a check valve and a flow valve to the project which required engineering design. We had an inordinate number of plan review comments from the Water Reclamation District for reviews that had to be addressed and that took a number of hours. We also had state regulations that were put into place on July 1<sup>st</sup> that required considerable documentation.

Alderman Buske: That is a lift station?

Mr. Vogt: Yes.

Alderman Buske: How many lift stations do we have?

Mr. Vogt: Three.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen  
Nays: Judd  
Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**H. Resolution No. 11-R-04 / Approve Renewal of the Multi-Family Solid Waste Agreement**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Buske made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman D' Astice.

Alderman Buske: Why do we sign an agreement if it is a private entity?

Mr. Vogt: A SWANCC agreement requires us to.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**I. Resolution No. 11-R-05 / Accept the 2010 Cook County Block Grant for ADA Improvements at the Rolling Meadows Community Center**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Larsen made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman D' Astice.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**J. Resolution No. 11-R-06 / Accept the 2010 Cook County Block Grant for ADA Improvements at City Hall**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Larsen.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**K. Resolution No. 11-R-07 / Approve One (1) Year Professional Services Agreement with Grant Writing Consultants, LLC (G.W.C.)**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Lusk made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Larsen.

Alderman Larsen: I thank Chief Scanlan for pursuing this once again. This has been money well spent.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D'Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafarro

**Resolution Passed**

**L. Resolution No. 11-R-08 / Request Surplus from IPBC (Intergovernmental Personnel Benefit Cooperative) be Allocated to the City and Placed in the General and Health Insurance Funds**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Alderman D'Astice: Is \$500,000 what we have on hand with them?

Mr. Krumstok: We have a little bit more. We do not know the exact number.

Alderman D'Astice: How do we determine the split of the \$500,000?

Mr. Krumstok: That was my decision.

Alderman D'Astice: One thing that I championed many years ago was establishing a Special Reserve Fund. If it is determined that we don't need to touch that money could we establish a Special Reserve Fund and put this money aside and not comingle it with our existing General Fund?

Mr. Krumstok: That is a discussion that we will have with the next Council after the elections and part of the 2012 budget.

Alderman D'Astice: You have my support. I am going to be watching this \$500,000.

Alderman Larsen: How did we end up with this \$500,000?

Mr. Krumstok: In a cooperative, when we pay the money is invested so part of this is the investment. The other part is, because you are a part of a cooperative, the number of bodies that we have participating allows us to have better savings overall. That pool of money is distributed.

Alderman Larsen: So this money was not there last year?

Mr. Krumstok: Some of it was there last year and some of it is new money. For the past few years, we have been doing a "rebate" which is not really a rebate. Typically, what is done is that most people take the money back and put it into their fund balances.

Alderman Larsen: So these rebates we have done in the past years would be to the tune of \$10,000 or \$25,000?

Mr. Krumstok: Last year we did over \$700,000 in a rebate.

Alderman Larsen: What is the difference between a rebate and this?

Mr. Krumstok: The rebate is that they still have the money and they deduct every month. With this we are actually getting the money in one lump sum.

Alderman Larsen: Is there any downside?

Mr. Krumstok: At this point, no.

Alderman Larsen: What about 90 days hence?

Mr. Krumstok: I would say that with our experience it will not happen.

Alderman Larsen: You mentioned that there was \$750,000 taken at some point.

Mr. Krumstok: I think it was in 2007 and it was specifically set up for what Alderman D'Astice mentioned.

Alderman Larsen: And we don't know what happened to that money?

Mr. Krumstok: It was either used when the fund balance was going down or when revenues were going down.

Alderman Larsen: Is there some reason why we have not performed an audit?

Mr. Krumstok: I think that when the auditors looked at it, they thought we may have used it to shore up the overall budget.

Alderman Larsen: But we don't know that for sure.

Mr. Krumstok: I think that is how they left it when they did the 2007 or 2008 audit.

Alderman Larsen: Can we look at that report?

Mr. Krumstok: Yes. The 2008 budget did talk about some of that money.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**M. Resolution No. 11-R-09 / Request Surplus from IRMA (Intergovernmental Risk Management Agency) be Allocated to the City and Placed in the General and Liability Insurance Funds**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Buske made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Larsen.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**N. Resolution No. 11-R-10 / Authorize 3-Year Renewal for Intergovernmental Personnel Benefit Cooperative (IPBC)**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Lusk made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman Buske.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**O. Resolution No. 11-R-11 / Accept FEMA AFG Grant to Purchase Cardiac Defibrillators**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Larsen made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman D' Astice.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: none

Absent: Pitzafferro

**Resolution Passed**

**P. Resolution No. 11-R-12 / Approve Purchase of Battalion Chief Vehicle**

Mayor Ken Nelson read the background on this Resolution.

Alderman Buske made a motion to accept the Resolution, seconded by Alderman D' Astice.

Alderman Buske: The Fire Department wanted to purchase this 3 years ago. Then, it had 42,000 miles and now has 64,000 miles on it. I will support this.

Alderman Larsen: Thanks to Chief Stewart for working with the Council on this.

Alderman Judd: What is fundamentally wrong with this vehicle?

Chief Stewart: The technology itself ages in these vehicles. The electrical become unreliable. In this vehicle we are already getting some body repair work. The engine wear and tear is substantial. It is getting old and unreliable.

Alderman Judd: Essentially, there is nothing fundamentally wrong with this vehicle.

Chief Stewart: It is aging and becoming increasingly unreliable.

Alderman Judd: If it is just because it has 65,000 miles on it that is irrelevant to me. I am not suggesting that I won't support this, but if we are just talking numbers, mileage is irrelevant on these vehicles today versus 10 years ago. If it only has a little bit of body damage it is no reason to buy a new vehicle.

Alderman Larsen: We have talked about this multiple times and have had the opportunity to review the status of the vehicle. The vehicle is worn out. This is an emergency services vehicle. It is not simply a matter of mileage. Miles on a fire or police vehicle are much different than what you and I put on. They are more complex vehicles than what the average person drives.

Mayor Nelson: This is the vehicle that would serve as Command at a fire scene?

Chief Stewart: Correct.

Mayor Nelson: So it is filled with electronic gear and the engine probably has the equivalent of 200,000 miles on it just because it sits and idles for such a long period of time.

Chief Stewart: That is correct. The engine hours are well in excess of what you consider routine for a vehicle with 65,000 miles on it.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, D' Astice, Larsen

Nays: Judd, Adams

Absent: Pitzaferro

**Resolution Passed**

**DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

**1) Rolling Meadows Community Events Foundation**

Mr. Krumstok: We have had numerous opportunities to create a Foundation in the past. Creating the foundation is one step and having the 501C is a separate step that can run at the same time. Once it is set up they would be soliciting the money. I hope that there is support for this.

Alderman Larsen: Assuming that this foundation is set up, as people want to make donations could they earmark those donations?

Mr. Krumstok: The foundation should just have a pool of money. The reason for that is that these are all community events, but that is for the Foundation to decide.

Alderman Larsen: Once set up does the Foundation answer to anyone?

Mr. Krumstok: Only to themselves.

Mr. Macholl: As a not-for-profit corporation that would be set up. There would be officers and a board, but they are a freestanding entity and they would control their own finances and expenditures.

Alderman Larsen: With no oversight? They would have to meet the federal tax guidelines?

Mr. Krumstok: Yes, and they would have to file a financial report to maintain the 501C status.

Alderman Larsen: It sounds like a great idea.

Alderman Lusk: I think this is a great idea and I thank you Mr. Krumstok.

Alderman Buske: I like this idea also. I think this is a good start.

Mayor Nelson: Direction is to go forward.

**OTHER BUSINESS & REPORTS:**

Mayor's Appointments:  
Mayor's Proclamation:  
City Clerk's Report:  
City Staff Reports:

- 1) PO Disclosure Over \$2500.00**
- 2) Presentation of the January 18<sup>th</sup> Committee of the Whole Agenda**

Alderman Adams: I would like to add a discussion about a Non-Binding Referendum being put on the ballot at the next election.

Mr. Krumstok: Do you want the Sick Leave Survey on the agenda?

Mayor Nelson: Yes.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to accept the Committee of the Whole Agenda as amended; seconded by Alderman Buske.

**UNANIMOUS**

**MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA:**

Alderman Buske: In the Weekly Notes it was stated that a payroll analysis for 2010 was completed for a resident. What did that deal with?

Mr. Krumstok: A resident was requesting a bulk number on the personnel salaries for 2010.

**CLOSED SESSION:**

**1) Personnel**

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to go into Closed Session; seconded by Alderman Buske.

Yeas: Lusk, Buske, Judd, Adams, D' Astice, Larsen  
Nays: none  
Absent: Pitzafferro

**Motion Passed**

ENTERED INTO CLOSED SESSION AT 9:20 pm

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to go into Open Session; seconded by Alderman Buske.  
**Unanimous**

RETURNED TO OPEN SESSION AT 10:57 pm

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, Alderman Lusk moved to adjourn, seconded by Alderman Larsen.

**UNANIMOUS**

City Council meeting of January 11, 2011, adjourned at 10:58 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by: Lisa M. Hinman, City Clerk

January 11, 2011 Minutes Approved by Council on January 25, 2011

---

Lisa M. Hinman, City Clerk