

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES – October 25, 2011**

Mayor Tom Rooney called the Council meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag:

ROLL CALL:

Present: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, Jr., D’Astice, Larsen

Absent: Allen

Staff Members Present: City Manager Barry Krumstok, Deputy City Clerk Ginny Cotugno, Community Development Director Valerie Dehner, Assistant Finance Director Melissa Gallagher, Fire Chief Ron Stewart, Police Chief Dave Scanlan, Assistant City Engineer Gary Rozwadowski, City Attorney Jim Macholl

Mayor Tom Rooney read the following statement:

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE ARE REMINDED THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING VIDEO TAPED FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BROADCAST OVER THE CITY’S CABLE TELEVISION CHANNEL.

MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES:

Alderman Larsen moved to approve the minutes of the October 11, 2011 Council Meeting; it was moved and seconded to approve the minutes.

Yeas: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, Jr., D’Astice, Larsen

Nays: 0

Absent: Allen

Minutes Approved

➤ **MOTION TO DEVIATE:**

- 1) Swear-in Lieutenant and Firefighters/Paramedics
 - a) Lieutenant Chris Lee
 - b) Firefighter/Paramedic Bryan Earl
 - c) Firefighter/Paramedic Timothy King
 - d) Firefighter/Paramedic Edmundjon Calungcaguin

MAYOR'S REPORT:

I had made known to the Council about this movement that’s been recently open among the State of Illinois in what’s called the Electric Aggregation allowing cities, residents, and businesses to come together to buy electricity and hopefully lower the rates. We will probably talk about this in January. I’ve had a couple of meetings already and so far there’s a bit of a difference of opinion as to whether there’s time to get this rolling in time for the March primary because the process involves a referendum or whether we have to wait until November. If it turns out that it would be able to be put together for March, I’ll be bringing this to the council sooner than January. If it’s possible and we would be able to

decide if we wanted to go ahead by November 15th, it may be called off. For anyone interested in the process either for or against, it might be coming up sooner than we thought. If you care to contact your Alderman with your opinion, you're welcome to.

WARD REPORTS:

Ward 3:

I received a call from a lady that was very disappointed and angry that someone at our last council meeting would mention that the seniors to save money should turn their thermostats down. She wasn't happy with that at all. She's not in favor of any large tax bill from the City. Doesn't feel there is enough cut out of the budget from year to year. She was talking about the garbage and outsourcing that. I explained that we're just looking into this and she was all for that.

Ward 6:

Had a couple residents pose some questions to me and I posed them to Fred. The first question was this past Friday around 5:30pm we had a street sweeper working the streets in and around the subdivision called Plum Grove something and near the high school. The resident said there's cars parked in the street, a football game going on, a lot of traffic. Why would the street sweeper be trying to maneuver around parked cars and through traffic at that time of day. Wouldn't it make more sense to do it at 2:00 in the afternoon or 2:00 in the morning when traffic is less and no cars parked? The second question was today there were two trucks and four or five of our employees working on patching asphalt near a sewer at the corner of Magnolia and Plum Grove. Why does it take 4 or 5 employees to do that?

Mr. Vogt: Our street sweeping is performed this time of year on 2 cycles. One cycle starts at 5am – 1pm, the second cycles starts at 1pm – 9pm Monday - Friday. That's in addition to contractual work that we have done two or three days a week. The drivers are on a route. It just so happened that Friday was the day that the area by the high school was done and the driver certainly noticing that, did what he could in that area and cleaned the inlets. Due to flooding potential we do sweep the streets several times a year at this time of year. The fact that there were some cars parked on few of the streets around the high school was really of little concern to us in that we just skipped those areas and we'll get them, in fact he got those areas yesterday when he started his evening route. We'll try to coordinate that with football schedules in the future, but quite honestly didn't take that into account. As far as the asphalt work, typically we do run 4 or 5 people on an asphalt crew. That is a standard procedure to have that going on.

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR 20 MINUTES:

No one came forward.

➤ **PENDING:**

None

➤ **CONSENT ORDINANCES (1st Reading):**

- A. Ordinance / Approve Text Amendment to the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance – Personal Training Facility**
- B. Ordinance / Approve Special Use for Personal Training Facility – 3620 Edison Place**
- C. Ordinance / Amend Appendix B Schedule of Rates, Fees, Fines and Penalties – Late Fees for Real Estate Transfer Tax**
- D. Ordinance / Amend Code – “D” Plan**
- E. Ordinance / Amend Appendix B Schedule of Rates, Fees, Fines and Penalties – Building Permit Fees**
- F. Ordinance / Amend Chapter 70 “Offenses & Miscellaneous Provisions” – Administrative Fee for Bailable Offenses**
- G. Ordinance / Approve Transfer of Volume Cap**
- H. Fee Waiver Request for Rolling Meadows Park District – Community Center Parking Lot**

Mayor Rooney: Item D has been pulled for this evening. There’s some re-drafting that needs to be done to that ordinance and it’s a little more extensive than the normal amendment process would allow or at least that the Chair is comfortable with, so the Chair gives the assurance to the Council that Item D will come back next week for its 1st reading once the phrasing of it has been polished up to its proper form.

Does anyone want an item pulled from the consent agenda?

Alderman Judd: C & E

Mayor Rooney: The consent agenda stands with Item A, B, F, G, and H

Mayor Rooney read the background on the remaining Resolutions

Mayor Rooney: Without objection all 5 of those ordinances will be passed on 1st reading. Is there any objection to considering them as a whole? Seeing none, the question is shall those 5 ordinances be passed through?

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D’Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

Mayor Rooney: There are 4 ordinances that the Clerk will move forward for 2nd reading and Item H just needs approval this evening and doesn’t need to come back council.

C. Ordinance / Amend Appendix B Schedule of Rates, Fees, Fines and Penalties – Late Fees for Real Estate Transfer Tax

Mayor Rooney read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman Buske made a motion to move the ordinance for 2nd reading; moved and seconded to consider the ordinance.

City Council Meeting
October 25, 2011
Page 4

Alderman Judd: I would like to make an amendment to #4. We're only charging 100 dollars a month. I would like to raise that to \$200 a month.

Mayor Rooney: Mr. Judd has proposed an amendment to Section B, subsection 4 to strike the number 100.00 where it appears and insert the number 200.00. Is there a second, Mr. Cannon has seconded therefore we can open debate on the amendment.

Mr. Judd: I just think that 100.00 doesn't seem like a whole lot of money when we're talking about banks. If the bank wants to stall for a year to do this, 2400.00 is a little bit more of a pain than 1200.00.

Alderman D'Astice: It's 200.00 and if its 31 days late and 200.00 a month thereafter, is that correct?

Mayor Rooney: Correct, if the amendment passes.

Alderman D'Astice: Real Estate Transfer Tax is paid by the entity selling a piece of property in Rolling Meadows.

Mayor Rooney: In this particular case, when a foreclosure happens, there's simply an informational process that has to happen. There's not a real estate transfer tax that goes along with that. It's one of the exemptions. There's simply an administrative fee that needs to be paid for them notifying us. The owner is no longer in control of the property, the bank is. Ms. Gallagher, is that correct?

Ms. Gallagher: Correct.

Mayor Rooney: The transfer tax doesn't get paid until a person buys the house and that's when we catch these folks who never went through the transaction that they are supposed to, so that we would have known that the property was officially foreclosed on. This ordinance is intended to go after that.

Alderman D'Astice: And it's not the individual selling, it's the institution in this case

Mayor Rooney: Yes, Sir. It is the financial institution specifically because they are the ones on the hook for creating the transaction.

Alderman Banger: What trigger do we have to alert financial institutions that they haven't alerted us? They have to take the initiative. If this gets lost in the foreclosure banks have which are tons of them and it goes for two years, this will be a surprise when the property sells. Is this unique to Rolling Meadows that they have to alert us or do all cities do that?

Mayor Rooney: It's somewhere in the middle. It's not unique to us. To guarantee that all cities have a procedure, you're right, that may not be the case. In terms of the specific question you asked first about the trigger, that I don't know the answer to.

Mr. Macholl: Actually they are required to file a notice at the time they file a mortgage foreclosure procedure. They would have the ability to do that and we would have the ability to advise them at the time upon receiving that notice that this ordinance is in full force and effect.

Alderman Banger: We're alerting them that they, are we alerting them that they need to notify us that the property is in foreclosure?

Mr. Macholl: They are duty bound to notify us when they begin the foreclosure process. With that notice we could advise them of this particular ordinance, but in any event, they are duty bound to notify us. To the extent they don't notify us, and we don't know, then they would suffer the consequences as a result of their failure to notify the City.

Alderman Banger: How did we arrive at the 100.00?

Mr. Krumstok: We took that from another municipality that we are taking pretty much the wording from. On number 4, and maybe this is what Alderman D'Astice was mentioning, it says if a person, I don't know if that should be an institution so we're not going after the people, we are going after the banking institution.

Mr. Macholl: A person is a person whether it be an individual, a partnership, a corporation, we would call them a person. That shouldn't make a difference.

Mayor Rooney: Mr. Banger, is that satisfactory?

Alderman Banger: That's fine

Alderman Buske: I'm all for the 200.00.

Mayor Rooney: The question is shall the amendment pass? The amendment in Section B, subsection 4, strike the 100.00 as it appears and insert the number 200.00. Shall that amendment pass?

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

The amendment passes.

Mayor: The question is shall the ordinance be moved forward for 2nd reading?

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

The ordinance as amended is moved to 2nd reading

I. Ordinance / Amend Appendix B Schedule of Rates, Fees, Fines and Penalties – Building Permit Fees

Mayor Rooney read the background on the Ordinance

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to move the ordinance forward for 2nd reading; it was moved and seconded to move the ordinance forward for 2nd reading.

City Council Meeting
October 25, 2011
Page 6

Alderman Judd: I'm ok with everything except for I would like to make an amendment to Number 13, c) there is a default tear-down tax in here. I'd like to remove that. I'm ok leaving the 1000 commercial buildings. I think that we want to encourage people to do things to their houses. If it means tearing them down, so be it. I didn't catch this last year, that's my fault. If people want to come in and buy the houses at the value they are at now and tear them down and put a bigger structure up, I'd don't know why we would want to deter someone from doing that. I'd like to remove the 500.00 for single family homes.

Mayor Rooney: Mr. Judd has made an amendment to take out the permit fee that applies to the demolition of a single family residence. Is there a second to that amendment? It's been moved and seconded.

Alderman D'Astice: I would like to defer the whole thing to someone on staff who could explain why. I'd like further clarification. The set fee is \$15.00 for each 1000 sq ft of building or structure or is it just the 500.00 for the single family home? Is it both or one or the other?

Mr. Krumstok: It's a minimum

Alderman D'Astice: So it's the whole thing

Ms. Dehner: A minimum of 500.00.

Alderman D'Astice: What is the rationale for having that in there to begin with?

Ms. Dehner: I know there is a great deal of activity when a single family house, or any structure, is demolished. We have to engage the Public Works Department as well as the Building Department to cut-off the infrastructure water and sewer, make sure the gas is done. There are a lot of safeguards that need to be done with demolishing a house. We have to make sure that if it's not going to be redeveloped right away, that the ground is leveled and made secure and safe and in some cases seeded. In my survey from 2010, the actual average for residential for some of the adjacent communities was 744.00 for residential and 1500.00 for commercial.

Alderman D'Astice: A good portion of this is to cover our costs.

Ms. Dehner: Yes

Alderman D'Astice: Another concern I have in waiving it in its entirety would be there is nothing to say I own a structure somewhere in the City that's falling apart and rather than fix it I'll just tear it down and not do anything with it. I guess unless there is something in the amendment that says waiving these fees provided that another structure is built to replace it within some kind of a reasonable amount of time. In its present form I couldn't support it, but I could consider it if there was some kind of a contingency to get our cost covered and the land does not remain vacant for a period of time not to exceed 90 days before some construction starts to happen.

Alderman Buske: We have to consider road damage when they are tearing this stuff down. I disagree with Mr. Judd about talking we want people to build. Cook County, if you're building a house or remodeling, the first 30,000 of that is tax free for the next three years. I think that's enough of an incentive. I don't want to see us coming back and take all these revenue streams away. This is revenue for the City. I'm not going to vote to change anything.

City Council Meeting
October 25, 2011
Page 7

Alderman Banger: I'm going to vote for it. There is some value for making it reasonable for someone to tear down to remove the eyesore or tear down and replace it with something.

Alderman Cannon: I would agree with Mr. Judd. I think we should do everything we can as a town to have people remodel or rebuild. I think this is a great idea to get rid of this.

Alderman Larsen: I'm not in favor of incurring costs. Any time a city incurs costs, we should find some way of offsetting them. I'm not in favor of this amendment.

Alderman D'Astice: I agree with Mr. Larsen: If there is going to be a cost to us, then I can't go along with it. If there is no cost to us then I can see doing this. I would like to see a replacement structure built, but for the time being I can live with covering our city's costs.

Mayor Rooney: Having been on the Affordable Housing Committee that studied the idea of tear down fees, I take issue with the description of the 250.00 fee that we have right now or the 500.00 that we would be raising it to as some sort of a stealth tear down fee. The spirit of a tear down fee, people charge 5,000, people charge 3,000 and its meant to be something that generates revenue for affordable housing. It's meant to discourage the practice of tear downs. I personally don't think that a 250.00 or even a 500.00 rises to that level. I think tear down fees are something that have a specific definition and this doesn't quite meet it. The question is shall the amendment pass? The amendment would be in Section 13, subsection C to strike the fee that is associated with the demolition of a single family residential building. Shall that amendment pass?

AYES: Cannon, Judd, Banger

NAYS: Buske, D'Astice, Larsen

ABSENT: Allen

Mayor Rooney: The vote is 3 to 3. By rules of the council the Chair is not entitled to vote on an amendment. By parliamentary procedure, 3 to 3 means the amendment did not gain a majority, therefore the amendment fails due to a tie vote. The Chair would point out that for 2nd reading Mr. Allen will be back. Is there further discussion on the ordinance? The question is shall the ordinance be moved forward for 2nd reading.

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

Ordinance is moved forward for 2nd reading.

➤ **NEW BUSINESS:**

I. MOTION TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF BILLS ON WARRANT 10-25-2011

Alderman Buske made a motion to approve the October 25, 2011 Warrant; it has been moved and seconded to approve the October 25, 2011 Warrant

YEAS: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

Warrant Approved

➤ **CONSENT RESOLUTIONS:**

J. Resolution No. 11-R-108 – Award Contract for HVAC Chiller Replacement at City Hall

K. Resolution No. 11-R-109 – Approve Agreement with IDOT for Carriageway Bridge Phase II

L. Resolution No. 11-R-110 – Approve Engineering Services Agreement for Carriageway Bridge Phase II

M. Resolution No. 11-R-111 – Approve Placement of Temporary Signage in Public Right-of-Way – Rolling Meadows High School Athletic Boosters

N. Resolution No. 11-R-112 – Acceptance of a Grant from the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)

Mayor Rooney: Does anyone want an item pulled?

Alderman Judd: M

Mayor Rooney: The consent agenda as it stands is J, K, L and N.

Mayor Rooney read the background on the remaining Resolutions.

Mayor Rooney: Without objection all 4 resolutions will be considered in a single vote. Is there an objection? Hearing none, the question is shall those 4 resolutions pass?

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

**M. Resolution No. 11-R-111 – Approve Placement of Temporary Signage in Public Right-of-Way
– Rolling Meadows High School Athletic Boosters**

Mayor Rooney read the background on the resolution.

Alderman Larsen made a motion to adopt the resolution; it was moved and seconded to adopt the resolution.

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Banger, D’Astice, Larsen

NAYS: Judd

ABSENT: Allen

Resolution is adopted.

OTHER BUSINESS & REPORTS:

Mayor's Appointments:

Mayor's Proclamation:


City Clerk's Report:

City Staff Reports:

1) Community Items of Interest

- 1) Reminder: the City’s Official Halloween Trick or Treat Hours are set for 3 pm to 8 pm on Monday, October 31st. Also, if your child collects a treat that you have concerns about, you can dispose of that item in the City’s “Candy Disposal” container located at the front of City Hall.
- 2) The Fire Department has started a new campaign and has joined with The FILE OF LIFE FOUNDATION (a non-profit corporation promoting life-saving ideas). This program consists of a medical information packet designed to provide emergency personnel with necessary medical data to begin immediate treatment. Since 1995, this very simple concept has saved thousands of lives.

Who is at Risk? We all are. Medical Emergencies can happen to anyone at any time. That's why everyone needs the "File of Life" urgency and helplessness packet. With the "File of Life" there is solid information on conditions and medications.

Did you know...  of all Emergency Calls are for Senior Citizens? Are they prepared for this? There's panic, confusion, fear and a terrible sense of urgency and helplessness.

The program packet consists of a red “File of Life” refrigerator magnet that provides responding crews organized and accurate information pertaining to the patient’s medical history, medication lists and allergies.

The first meeting that the Fire Department will be having with the seniors will be November 17th at 11:30 am at the Senior Center.

If you want additional information, please contact Chief Stewart and he will put you in touch with the FF/PM who will be coordinating the campaign.

- 3) Saturday, October 29th is World Stroke Day.

Every 6 seconds, someone somewhere will die from stroke. Stroke is the 2nd leading cause of death for people above the age of 60 and fifth leading cause in people aged 15 to 59.

This campaign not only stresses how prevalent stroke is but also highlights the fact that stroke can be prevented and that stroke survivors can recover and regain their quality of life with care and support. The campaign is asking people to commit to 6 ways to reduce their likelihood of having a stroke.

- a) Know one's personal risk factors, including diagnosed high blood pressure, diabetes, or high cholesterol.
 - b) Be physically active and exercise regularly.
 - c) Avoid obesity by eating a healthy diet with lots of fresh fruits and vegetables.
 - d) Limit alcohol consumption.
 - e) Avoid cigarette smoke. People who smoke should seek help to stop now.
 - f) Learn to recognize the warning signs of a stroke and call 9-1-1 right away if someone is suspected of having a stroke.
- 4) Reminder: the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting will be conducted on Tuesday, November 1st.
- 5) Reminder: Sunday, November 6th Daylight Savings Time Ends (fall back an hour).
- 6) City Hall will be closed on Friday, November 11th in recognition of Veteran's Day. Please remember and thank a veteran. ***Regular Refuse routes will be observed –meaning NO delay in service for this holiday!***
- 7) LifeSource and the City will be conducting a Holiday Community Blood Drive on Tuesday, November 22nd from 1 pm to 7 pm in the City Council Chambers. Please give the "Gift of Life."
- 8) The Friends of the Rolling Meadows Fall Book Sale at the Library (3110 Martin Lane) will be conducted on Friday, November 11th from 9 am to 8:30 pm; Saturday, November 12th from 9 am to 4:30 pm and Sunday, November 13th from 1 to 4 pm. Find bargains galore on books, CD's, DVD's, audio books and more!
- 9) Rolling Meadows has again partnered with the United States Marine Corps Reserve to collect toys for children in need through the Marines' Toys for Tots program. Beginning Tuesday, November 1, residents may deliver new, unwrapped toys to drop boxes at City Hall (3600 Kirchoff Road) or the Rolling Meadows Library (3110 Martin Lane). Toy donations will be accepted through Monday, December 5.

- 10) This Saturday, the Rolling Meadows Police Department will be participating in the Drug Take-Back Program at the Public Works Facility from 10:00am – 2:00pm, October 29th
- 11) We have a watermain break. It's not ours but it's part of a JAWA watermain break. People on the west side of the community might see some pressure changes. JAWA is working on it.

2) Staff Traffic Advisory Report – October 5, 2011

Mr. Vogt: We had a very light agenda for October's Traffic Review Committee meeting. We discussed these items previously in September and carried them over to the October meeting. The Keystone Court parking will be discussed at the November 2nd meeting to check the survey responses. Creekside Drive, paving striping request, we had additional discussion with the City Engineer and the options and comments are here in the report and that item has been deferred and will be discussed at the November 2nd Traffic Review Committee meeting. The Meacham Road Traffic Study that is underway we did some accident investigations that were needed by the Engineer. We reviewed those and sent those on to the engineer.

3) Brookwood Retention

Mr. Vogt: The Brookwood Stormwater Retention has been presented to council on three occasions since the July 2008 floods. What we bring to the Council is because we have had several discussions with the Brookwood Condominium Association and several public meetings, we really haven't made a whole lot of progress in determining what we can do given the fact that the detention area is not in a public easement. It was built in 1970. Extensive research has been done. We have not been able to find any easements that have been recorded. We have asked Brookwood as the owner on numerous occasions. They have not produced any evidence of public easements for stormwater management there. It does drain and take in water from a number of areas to the west. The Township has verbally committed to provide some level of financial support in the future once we determine as a city what improvements we can do there. We have offered that as part of this process, because it drains areas in Rolling Meadows, there is a public benefit there and we feel that we would recommend that the council at some point in the future that this becomes a public maintained property for stormwater detention purposes and how Brookwood would financially participate and if they are indeed willing to dedicate the property as an easement or to plat the property out and turn it over to the City as a whole. I don't know where Brookwood wants to go with it. Because we've spent so much time with it, certainly we could as a city put a proposal together to cost share this. I don't feel comfortable doing that just yet. The problem that we have is that the 3 years that have passed, there are a lot of deficiencies in the detention area. It's not maintained well at all. There are numerous overgrown trees. We frequently pull logs and branches out of the downstream storm sewers. There's a significant amount of erosion that takes place there that contributes to the problem. Short of having any commitment from Brookwood, its Staff's recommendation that we at least pursue the matter of the detention area from a property management and maintenance standpoint to at least to try to get the owner to clean up their property. If Brookwood wants to continue to talk with us, we're happy to do so. If Brookwood wants to put some proposals together in terms of cost sharing, that's fine, we welcome that. In our opinion it's no longer plausible to continue to just point the finger upstream and say those that are up stream are contributing water to the area and therefore they should bear the burden and the City should bear the burden because we're only a 7 acre parcel, we shouldn't have to contribute to it. They were the first ones there, it is their property, and short of having an easement for that property, it's their property to maintain. Unless

there is objection from the council, that is what we propose to move forward with in terms of seeking to get the property cleaned up and function as a stormwater detention area should.

Alderman Buske: They wanted to turn it over to the City. Wouldn't we treat that like a road? They fix it up and then we would accept it.

Mr. Vogt: That was part of our discussion that they as the owner needed to take certain responsibility to contribute and cause the property to be cleaned up and graded properly so it functions properly.

4) PO Disclosures Over \$2500.00

Alderman Buske: On the 2625 Local Road Fund, Golf/New Wilke appraisal services. Is that from the property that we're having problems picking up that construction?

Mr. Macholl: That has to do with the ComEd property.

Alderman Buske: What we need?

Mr. Macholl: Correct

Alderman Buske: We still haven't settled anything?

Mr. Macholl: No. The appraisals were stale. I needed to get new appraisals. The new appraisals are going to ComEd

Alderman Buske: When is that going to start? Next year?

Mr. Macholl: Yes

Mayor Rooney: I can assure you that the State legislative situation with ComEd's desire for legislation for their smart grid has given the opportunity to have three different state senators and three different state representatives who ComEd comes asking for favors and looking kindly, what about that Rolling Meadows project that still hasn't moved forward yet. I assure you those avenues are being worked as well.

MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA:

Alderman D'Astice: Alderman Judd had brought up an amendment to an ordinance. It was a stalemate vote. I would like to know and possibly with the council's blessing, is could we be informed on what the approximate cost is to the City when we have to do a teardown. Is it 100, 200, 500, 1000. If I knew what that cost was, then maybe the next time this ordinance comes up we could say we could make an amendment to waive the fee with the exception of City's actual costs.

Mayor Rooney: It's a fair request and without objection the staff will be so directed.

CLOSED SESSION:

1) Union Negotiations – 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (2) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to go into Closed Session; it has been moved and seconded to go into Closed Session

AYES: Cannon, Buske, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Larsen

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Allen

Mayor Rooney advised the press and audience members that no action is anticipated after the Closed Session

Entered into Closed Session at 8:34pm

Returned to Open Session at 9:48pm

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, by unanimous consent the meeting adjourned

City Council meeting of October 25, 2011, adjourned at 9:49 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by: Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk

October 25, 2011 Minutes Approved by Council on November 1, 2011

Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk